Final Proposal

Kathryn Nelson – Luke Nelson Aditya Ponugupaty – Isaac Smith





Design Requirements

CRs with relative weights:

- Size (3%)
- Easy to connect (8%)
- Hard interior/Soft exterior (Layered) (25%)
- Lightweight (3%)
- Material selection (25%)
- Retains shape (8%)
- Similar properties to organic tissue (25%)
- Cost within budget (3%)

Top 3 CR's are satisfied

Hard interior/Soft exterior (25%)

• Layered design provides the ER's for this criterion.

Material selection (25%)

 Agilus and VC form a good base for human organic tissue

Similar properties to organic tissue (25%)

- Design considers the layer pattern of organic tissue
- Design proposes that material will help the mechanical properties to match organic tissue
- Design is safe to operate



<u> Proof of Concept</u>





Conclusion

- Shear in polymers is significantly greater than shear in vasculature.
- Our ratio came closer to human shear than previous studies.
- Proof of concept: Validated that ratio change may influence the polymer reaction to be closer to human vascular response.
- Compression is the next test to be conducted.







Potential Failure Mode and Effects Analysis



| | — | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-----|--------------------|
| Imncopentent mesh | creates holes in model | Improper complicane testing | 8 | 0 |
| Tearing | rips puck | Prevents pucks from testing properly | 16 | 0 |
| impact deformation | deforms puck | Prevents pucks from testing properly | 56 | 0 |
| Potential Failure Mode | Potential Effect(s) of Failure | Potential Causes and Mechanisms of Failure | RPN | Recommended Action |

| Potential Failures | | Failure Prevention | |
|--|---|---|--|
| Experiencing exces testing, causing def | s force during ormities of the samples | Check samples right ensure completed n | nt after printing to neshing |
| The materials not fu while printing, causi | Illy meshing ing leakage in the models | Work slowly when t that samples don't e | esting to make sure experience more force |
| Tearing or popping the sample | during testing, ruining | than they can hand | |
| Two are the failures | are caused by human error | | |
| | 8 | Kathryn, 11/1/2 | 021, BDL/Aneuvas, 21F05 |



| | | | | | | Oct 18, | 2021 | 21 | 22 | 23 3 | 24 25 | t 25, 20 | 27 | 28 1 | 9 30 | 31 | lov 1, 20 | 021 | 4 | 5 | 6 7 | No 8 5 | v 8, 202 | 1 | Nov | 15, 2021 17 18 # | . N | ov 22, 20: | 28 29 30 | 29, 202 1 2 3 | 4 5 6 | 7 8 9 | 21 | 12 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|------|------------|-----------|----|---------|------|----|----|------|-------|----------|----|------|------|----|-----------|-----|----|----|-----|-----------|----------|----|-----|---------------------|-----|------------|----------|------------------|-------|-------|-----|--------|
| | | | | | | м | T W | т | E | 5 | S M | Т | w | т | s | s | м | w | ाः | .5 | 5 5 | M | r w T | FS | SMT | W T F | SSM | WTFS | SMT | W T F | 5 5 M | тwт | F 5 | |
| Presentation 3 | All | 100% | 10/22/2021 | 11/1/2021 | 11 | | - | - | | | | | | | i. | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Description | laar | 100% | 10/22/2021 | 11/1/2021 | 11 | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | | ++- | | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| Design Description | locar | 100% | 10/22/2021 | 11/1/2021 | 11 | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | ++- | | | | | | | | | | | \sim |
| Design Requirements | AP. Luke | 100% | 10/22/2021 | 11/1/2021 | 11 | | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | | ++- | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Design Validation | Luke | 100% | 10/22/2021 | 11/1/2021 | 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | ++ | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| Scedule And Budget | AP,Katheryn | 100% | 10/22/2021 | 11/1/2021 | 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | + | | ++ | ++- | | ++- | | | | _ | | | | | |
| Final Concept Report | All | 0% | 11/2/21 | 11/14/21 | 13 | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Backgroud | All** | 0% | 11/2/21 | 11/14/21 | 13 | | | - | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Customer and Engineering Requirement | a All** | 0% | 11/2/21 | 11/14/21 | 13 | | | | | | | 1 | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Testing Proceduces | All** | 0% | 11/2/21 | 11/14/21 | 13 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Risk Analysis and Mitigation | All** | 0% | 11/2/21 | 11/14/21 | 13 | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Design Selection | All** | 0% | 11/2/21 | 11/14/21 | 13 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Conclusion | All** | 0% | 11/2/21 | 11/14/21 | 13 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Final Bill of Materials and CAD | all | 0% | 11/2/21 | 11/19/21 | 18 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test Day: Compression | All | 0% | 11/13/21 | 11/14/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Test day: Lubricity and Compliance | All | 0% | 11/27/21 | 11/27/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Individual Analytical Analysis | All | 0% | 10/25/21 | 11/26/21 | 33 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Website Check 2 | Luke | 0% | 10/22/21 | 12/6/21 | 46 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | | |
| Final Prototype | All | 0% | 11/1/21 | 12/3/21 | 33 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Schedule for 2nd semester

| i Alexandre de la construcción de la constr | |
|--|-------|
| L/ANEUVAS CAPSTONE Semester 2 | |
| I ME Capstone | |
| ect Lead: Isaac Smith Project Start: Mon, 1/10/2022 | |
| Display Week: 1 | |
| s of date of making | |
| ASSIGNED PROGRESS START END | DAYS |
| ester 2 Start-up | |
| k to client All 1/14/2022 1/14/2022 | 1 |
| st Mortem of Final report from \$1 All 1/14/2022 1/14/2022 | 1 |
| elegate testing days and start on final design AP 1/14/2022 1/14/2022 | 1 |
| oject Update and First report delegation Issac 1/14/2022 ?? | ***** |
| ebsite Updates Luke 1/14/2022 1/14/2022 | 1 |
| halize BOM for testing with client Katheryn 1/21/2022 1/21/2022 | 1 |
| dividual Analytical Analysis All 1/10/2022 2/1/2022 | 23 |
| dpoint Presentation and Report All 2/1/2022 ?? | ***** |
| sting days Issac, AP Multiple Days: TBD Multiple Days: TBD | |
| st data analysis Luke,Katheryn Dependent on above Dependent on above | ***** |
| hal Product and Design Summary All 4/1/2022 4/15/2022 | 15 |
| All 4/15/22 5/6/22 | 22 |
| 11 | /1 L |

21

| | Bı (| udge | et | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|------|----------------|--------------|----------|
| This Semester | ·] | | Next Sem | <u>ester</u> | |
| Current Amount S | pent | | Potential H | Prints | |
| Initial Instruments Prep. | \$16.85 | | 3 Full Models | \$185.70 | |
| Newest Sample Mat. | \$1.65 | | 10 Samples | \$185 | |
| Total | \$18.50 | | Additio | ns | |
| Potential Spending for Re | est of Term | | Equipment Pont | \$200 | |
| Possible Printed Models | \$61.90 | | Equipment Kent | \$300 | |
| Total Spent: | | | Total Spe | ent: | |
| With models | \$80.40 | | With Models | \$751.10 | |
| No Models | \$18.50 | | No Models | \$503.50 | |
| Leftover: | \$10.50 | | Leftove | er: | |
| With Models | \$919.60 | | With Models | \$248.90 | <u>-</u> |
| No Models | \$981.50 | | No Models | \$496.50 | |
| | | | | | |

12

Luke, 11/1/2021, BDL/Aneuvas, 21F05

References



[1] C. Settanni, "In Vitro Neurovascular Model Development for Liquid Embolic Implant Simulation," Google. [Online]. Available: <u>https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/14mdgqx</u>2XWuA98fz6Ufh07s_CHWN_08-w/edit#slide=id.p9. [Accessed: 10-Oct-2021].

[2] N. G. Norris, W. C. Merritt, and T. A. Becker, "Application of nondestructive mechanical characterization testing for creating in vitro vessel models with material properties similar to human neurovasculature," *Journal of biomedical materials research. Part A*, 17-Sep-2021. [Online]. Available: <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34617389/</u>. [Accessed: 13-Oct-2021].



