Human Powered Vehicle Project Plan

Matt Gerlich, Alex Hawley, Phillip Kinsley, Heather Kutz, Kevin Montoya, Erik Nelson

October 8, 2013

Overview

- Project Introduction
- Need Statement
- Project Goal
- Objectives
- Constraints
- Project Plan
 - Gantt Chart
 - QFD
- Conclusion

Project Introduction

- Client
 - Perry Wood
 - Instructor for Mechanical Engineering department at NAU
 - NAU ASME Advisor
 - ASME

Human Powered Vehicle Challenge

Need Statement

There is no current form of transportation that provides the benefits of bicycle commuting, while offering the practicality of automobiles.

Project Goal

Design a human powered vehicle that can function as an alternative form of transportation.

Objectives

- Vehicle can reach high speeds
- Light weight
- Highly maneuverable
- Cargo space
- Supports cargo weight

Objectives

- Large field of view
- Protects rider in case of roll over
- Aerodynamic
- Production run manufacturability
- Fits diverse range of operators

ASME Competition Constraints

Turning radius \leq 26.25 ft (8 m)

Completing 6.21 mi (10km) in under 2.5 hours

Withstand 600 lbf (2670 N) at angle of 12° from

Roll protection vertical with < 2 in (5.1 cm) deflection

systemWithstand 300 lbf (1330 N) side load with < 1.5 in (3.8</th>cm) deflection

Must have a seat belt

Field of view must equal or exceed 180°

Traverse a 5% uphill or 7% downhill

Carry a 12 lbf (5.5 kg) parcel of 15 X 13 X 7.9 in (38 X 33 X 20 cm)

Stop at a speed of 15.5 mph (25 km/h) in a distance \leq 19.7 ft (6 m)

Head lights, tail lights, side view mirrors, reflectors, horn

Table 2- Costumer Constraints

Costumer Constraints

Capable of exceeding 40 mph (64.4 km/h)

Vehicle weight ≤ 80 lbf (36.3 kg)

Coefficient of drag times the area less than that of a traditional cyclist

Development budget of \$6,500.00

Figure 1- Schedule Overview

GANTT S	5 7 5	\rightarrow	2013									
Project Name	Begin date	End date	Veek 40 9/29/13	Week 41	Week 42 10/13/13	Veek 43	Week 44	Week 45	Week 46	Week 47	Week 48	Veek 49
476C Requiements	10/8/13	12/2/13										
🗉 🍳 Innovation Design	9/27/13	11/29/13	—									
🗄 🍳 Frame Design	9/27/13	11/23/13										-
🗉 🍳 Ergo Design	9/27/13	12/4/13									_	
🗄 🍳 Drivetrain Design	9/27/13	12/4/13										
🗉 🍳 Fairing Design	9/27/13	12/3/13										
	9/27/13	12/3/13										
🗄 🍳 Previous vehicle t	10/2/13	10/23/13										



Figure 2- Detailed Project Schedule

project			Week 40	l Week 41	Week 42	Week 43	Vveek 44	Veek 45	Week 46	Veek 47	VVeek 48	Vveek 49
Name	Begin date	End date	9/29/13	10/6/13	10/13/13	10/20/13	10/27/13	11/3/13	<u>11/10/13</u>	11/17/13	11/24/13	12/1/13
• 476C Requiements	10/8/13	12/2/13		-								
Innovation Design	9/27/13	11/29/13	-									-
Innovation Concept Generation		10/11/13			_							
Innovation Prototyping	10/12/13	11/6/13						<u></u>				
Innovation Analysis	11/7/13	11/29/13										
Frame Design	9/27/13	11/24/13	-									
Old Frame Test Date	10/10/13	10/10/13		4	8							
Frame Concept Generation	9/27/13	10/17/13										
Analysis of Chosen Concept	10/18/13	11/23/13									<u> </u>	
Specific Geometry Declared	11/24/13	11/24/13									! • 1	
🗉 🍳 Ergo Design	9/27/13	12/4/13	-									
Rider Position Study	9/27/13	10/22/13				h						
Rider/Pedal Position Declerat	. 10/23/13	10/23/13				-						
Concept Generation	9/27/13	11/1/13										
Analysis of chosen Concept	11/2/13	12/4/13										
Drivetrain Design	9/27/13	12/4/13										
Cost Analysis	9/27/13	10/11/13			1 1							-
Component Declaration	10/12/13	10/12/13			•							
Reverse Gear Concept Gener	. 9/27/13	10/27/13	4				h.					
DriveTrain Analysis	10/28/13	12/4/13										
Fairing Design	9/27/13	12/3/13	-									
Concept Generation	9/27/13	10/27/13	1				h					1
Analysis of concepts	10/28/13	11/13/13					*		h			
Proto Printing	11/14/13	11/16/13								h		
Windtunnel Test	11/17/13	11/17/13								* 1		
Analysis of results	11/18/13	12/3/13										
Retest with final geometry	11/25/13	11/30/13								26	4	8
Steering/Braking Design	9/27/13	12/3/13	-									
Concept Generation	9/27/13	10/22/13										
Analysis of concept	10/23/13	11/29/13										
Clearance Confirmation	12/3/13	12/3/13										
Previous vehicle testing	10/2/13	10/23/13				-						
Accelerometer Test Prep	10/2/13	10/6/13		Ь								
Accelerometer Test Analysis	10/8/13	10/23/13	-									
Acceleronneter rest Analysis	10/0/10	10/20/10		4								

Table 1- QFD

Engineering Requirements

			<u> </u>								Bench Marks	
		Yield Strength	Deformation	Cost	Velocity	Coefficient of Drag, Cd·A	Volume	Degree	Distance	Weight	The AXE (2012-2013)	Rose Hulman
	Reach high speeds				x						x	x
ent	Light weight			X						Х		x
j me	Maneuverable								X	X	X	
lire	Carry cargo						X			Х	X	x
ľ ř	Large field of view							x				
Customer	Protect rider	x	x									x
ton	Aerodynamic				X	X					X	x
nst	Manufacturability			X								x
С С	Range of rider sizes						x			x	x	
	Units	psi (kpa)	in (m)	\$	ft/s (m/s)	in^2 (m^2)	in^3 (m^3)	o	ft (m)	lbf (kg)		
		Engineering Targets										

Conclusion

- A human powered vehicle will be designed to provide the practicality of an automobile, while having the benefits of a bicycle.
- Client is Instructor Perry Wood and ASME Human Powered Vehicle Challenge.
- Vehicle will be safe, efficient, and manufacturable on a large scale.

References

American Society of Mechanical Engineers, *Rules for the 2014 Human Powered Vehicle Challenge* (2014) [Online]. Available: https://community.asme.org/hpvc/m/default.aspx

Questions?